# **Management of Immune-Related Adverse Events in Patients Treated With Chimeric Antigen Receptor T-Cell Therapy: ASCO Guideline**

Bianca D. Santomasso, MD, PhD<sup>1</sup>; Loretta J. Nastoupil, MD<sup>2</sup>; Sherry Adkins, RN, MS<sup>2</sup>; Christina Lacchetti, MHSc<sup>3</sup>; Bryan J. Schneider, MD<sup>4</sup>; Milan Anadkat, MD<sup>5</sup>; Michael B. Atkins, MD<sup>6</sup>; Kelly J. Brassil, PhD, RN<sup>2</sup>; Jeffrey M. Caterino, MD, MPH<sup>7</sup>; Ian Chau, MD<sup>8</sup>; Marianne J. Davies, DNP<sup>9</sup>; Marc S. Ernstoff, MD<sup>10</sup>; Leslie Fecher, MD<sup>4</sup>; Pauline Funchain, MD<sup>11</sup>; Ishmael Jaiyesimi, DO, MS<sup>12</sup>; Jennifer S. Mammen, MD, PhD<sup>13</sup>; Jarushka Naidoo, MD<sup>14</sup>; Aung Naing, MD<sup>2</sup>; Tanyanika Phillips, MD<sup>15</sup>; Laura D. Porter, MD<sup>16</sup>; Cristina A. Reichner, MD<sup>17</sup>; Carole Seigel, MBA<sup>18</sup>; Jung-Min Song, MSN, RN, CNS<sup>11</sup>; Alexander Spira, MD, PhD<sup>19</sup>; Maria Suarez-Almazor, MD<sup>2</sup>; Umang Swami, MD<sup>20</sup>; John A. Thompson, MD<sup>21</sup>; Praveen Vikas, MD<sup>22</sup>; Yinghong Wang, MD<sup>2</sup>; Jeffrey S. Weber, MD, PhD<sup>23</sup>; Kathryn Bollin, MD<sup>24</sup>; and Monalisa Ghosh, MD<sup>25</sup>

Ω

es S

bstract

Asco special

PURPOSE To increase awareness, outline strategies, and offer guidance on the recommended management of

immune-related adverse events (irAEs) in patients treated with chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T-cell therapy. METHODS A multidisciplinary panel of medical oncology, neurology, hematology, emergency medicine, nursing,

trialists, and advocacy experts was convened to develop the guideline. Guideline development involved a systematic literature review and an informal consensus process. The systematic review focused on evidence published from 2017 to 2021.

**RESULTS** The systematic review identified 35 eligible publications. Because of the paucity of high-quality evidence, recommendations are based on expert consensus.

**RECOMMENDATIONS** The multidisciplinary team issued recommendations to aid in the recognition, workup, evaluation, and management of the most common CAR T-cell-related toxicities, including cytokine release syndrome, immune effector cell-associated neurotoxicity syndrome, B-cell aplasia, cytopenias, and infections. Management of short-term toxicities associated with CAR T cells begins with supportive care for most patients, but may require pharmacologic interventions for those without adequate response. Management of patients with prolonged or severe CAR T-cell-associated cytokine release syndrome includes treatment with tocilizumab with or without a corticosteroid. On the basis of the potential for rapid decline, patients with moderate to severe immune effector cell-associated neurotoxicity syndrome should be managed with corticosteroids and supportive care.

Additional information is available at www.asco.org/supportive-care-guidelines.

J Clin Oncol 39:3978-3992. © 2021 by American Society of Clinical Oncology

## INTRODUCTION

Immunotherapy has revolutionized the treatment of many different types of cancers. Chimeric antigen receptor T-cell (CAR T) therapy is an expanding immunotherapeutic approach and is currently used for several hematologic neoplasms. CAR T therapy modifies T cells to redirect them to eradicate malignant cells and offers durable and sustained remissions in many patients. The most notable advantage of CAR T-cell therapy is the short treatment time needed. administered with a single infusion and close monitoring.<sup>1</sup> CAR T-cell therapy is regarded as a living drug, as cells are expected to persist in the long term with efficacy that may last for decades.<sup>1,2</sup> However, they can also be associated with severe toxicities, such as cytokine release syndrome (CRS) or immune effector cell-associated neurotoxicity syndrome (ICANS).

Although these toxicities are most often manageable and reversible with proper supportive care, they can be fatal and they require close vigilance and prompt treatment. Timely recognition of these toxicities and early intervention reduce morbidity and mortality. The incidence of CRS has been reported to range from 57% to 93%, and ICANS from 20% to 70%, on the basis of the agent used.<sup>3,4</sup>

The guideline offers expert guidance on the diagnosis, evaluation, and management of CRS, ICANS, and other potential but less common toxicities related to CAR T-cell therapy, including hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis (HLH), B-cell aplasia, cytopenias, disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC), and infections. With the increasing use of CAR T-cell therapy in cancer treatment regimens, it is imperative that clinicians are knowledgeable about the symptoms

CONTENT Appendix **Data Supplement** 

ASSOCIATED

Author affiliations and support information (if applicable) appear at the end of this article. Accepted on September 13, 2021 and published at

## ascopubs.org/journal/ ico on November 1. 2021: DOI https://doi. org/10.1200/JC0.21. 01992

**Clinical Practice** Guidelines Committee approval: May 7, 2021

**Reprint Requests:** 

2318 Mill Road, Suite 800. Alexandria, VA 22314: guidelines@ asco.org

#### THE BOTTOM LINE

#### Management of Immune-related Adverse Events in Patients Treated with CAR T-Cell Therapy: ASCO Guideline

#### Guideline Question

How should clinicians manage immune-related adverse events in adult patients with cancer treated with chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T-cell therapy?

#### **Target Population**

Adult patients with cancer receiving treatment with CAR T-cell therapy alone.

#### Target Audience

Health care practitioners, including oncologists, other medical subspecialists, emergency medicine, internal and family medicine practitioners, nurses, and pharmacists, who provide care to patients with cancer, as well as patients receiving CAR T-cell therapy, and their caregivers.

#### Methods

An Expert Panel was convened to update the clinical practice guideline recommendations on the basis of a systematic review of the medical literature.

#### Key Recommendations

The following are general recommendations that should be followed. For specific CAR T–related toxicities management, see Tables 1-7.

It is recommended that clinicians manage toxicities as follows:

- Management of short-term toxicities associated with CAR T cells begins with supportive care for most patients, but may
  require pharmacologic interventions for those without adequate response.
- Management of patients with prolonged or severe CAR T-cell-associated cytokine release syndrome includes treatment with tocilizumab with or without a corticosteroid.
- On the basis of the potential for rapid decline, patients with moderate to severe immune effector cell-associated neurotoxicity syndrome should be managed with corticosteroids and best supportive care. Steroids should be rapidly tapered once symptoms improve to grade 1.

All recommendations in this guideline are consensus based with benefits outweighing harms.

## Additional Resources

More information, including a supplement with additional evidence tables, slide sets, and clinical tools and resources, is available at www.asco.org/supportive-care-guidelines. The Methodology Manual (available at www.asco.org/guideline-methodology) provides additional information about the methods used to develop this guideline. Patient information is available at www.cancer.net.

ASCO believes that cancer clinical trials are vital to inform medical decisions and improve cancer care and that all patients should have the opportunity to participate.

associated with these agents, how best to monitor them, and their recommended management.

## **GUIDELINE QUESTIONS**

This clinical practice guideline focuses on one overarching clinical question: How should clinicians manage immunemediated adverse events in adult patients with cancer treated with chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T-cell therapy?

#### METHODS

## **Guideline Development Process**

A multidisciplinary panel of medical oncology, neurology, hematology, emergency medicine, dermatology,

convened to develop the clinical practice guideline (Appendix Table A1, online only). The Expert Panel met via teleconference and webinar and corresponded through e-mail. On the basis of the consideration of the evidence, the authors were asked to contribute to the development of the guideline, provide critical review, and finalize the guideline recommendations. Members of the Expert Panel were responsible for reviewing and approving the penultimate version of the guideline, which was then circulated for external review and submitted to the *Journal of Clinical Oncology* for editorial review and consideration for publication. All ASCO guidelines are ultimately reviewed and approved by the Expert Panel and the ASCO Clinical

gastroenterology, rheumatology, pulmonology, endocri-

nology, nursing, trialists, and patient advocacy experts was

Practice Guideline Committee before publication. All funding for the administration of this project was provided by ASCO.

ASCO guidelines are based on systematic reviews of the literature. A protocol for each systematic review defines parameters for a targeted literature search. Additional parameters include relevant study designs, literature sources, types of reports, and prespecified inclusion and exclusion criteria for literature identified.

A literature search of the PubMed database was performed on May 15, 2020, to obtain key literature on CAR T-cell–related toxicity published since 2017, using CAR T-cell–specific terms combined with safety, adverse events (AEs), and toxicity-specific terms. The search was updated on March 2, 2021. Articles were selected for inclusion in the systematic review of the evidence based on the following criteria:

- *Population*: Adult patients with cancer receiving treatment with CAR T therapy
- Intervention: Steroids, immunosuppressive therapy, dose modification of therapy, organ-specific management, hospitalization, consultation to subspecialties, and best supportive care
- Comparator: No intervention or best supportive care
- Outcomes: Hospitalization, AE-related morbidity or mortality, organ dysfunction on the basis of organ system affected, required treatment because of immune-mediated AEs, recovery from AEs, and health-related quality of life.

The search results were narrowed by selecting studies in humans published in English. Articles were excluded if they (1) involve investigational agents that have not yet received US Food and Drug Administration approval and (2) were clinical trial protocols.

The guideline recommendations are crafted, in part, using the *Guidelines Into Decision Support* (GLIDES) methodology.<sup>5</sup> In addition, a guideline implementability review was conducted. On the basis of the implementability review, revisions were made to the draft to clarify recommended actions for clinical practice.

The ASCO Expert Panel and guidelines staff will work with cochairs to keep abreast of any substantive updates to the guideline. On the basis of formal review of the emerging literature, ASCO will determine the need to update. The ASCO Guidelines Methodology Manual (available at www.asco.org/guideline-methodology) provides additional information about the guideline update process. This is the most recent information as of the publication date.

#### **Guideline Disclaimer**

The Clinical Practice Guidelines and other guidance published herein are provided by the American Society of Clinical Oncology, Inc (ASCO) to assist providers in clinical decision making. The information herein should not be relied upon as being complete or accurate, nor should it be considered as inclusive of all proper treatments or methods of care or as a statement of the standard of care. With the rapid development of scientific knowledge, new evidence may emerge between the time information is developed and when it is published or read. The information is not continually updated and may not reflect the most recent evidence. The information addresses only the topics specifically identified therein and is not applicable to other interventions, diseases, or stages of diseases. This information does not mandate any particular course of medical care. Further, the information is not intended to substitute for the independent professional judgment of the treating provider, as the information does not account for individual variation among patients. Recommendations specify the level of confidence that the recommendation reflects the net effect of a given course of action. The use of words like "must," "must not," "should," and "should not" indicates that a course of action is recommended or not recommended for either most or many patients, but there is latitude for the treating physician to select other courses of action in individual cases. In all cases, the selected course of action should be considered by the treating provider in the context of treating the individual patient. Use of the information is voluntary. ASCO does not endorse third party drugs, devices, services, or therapies used to diagnose, treat, monitor, manage, or alleviate health conditions. Any use of a brand or trade name is for identification purposes only. ASCO provides this information on an "as is" basis and makes no warranty, express or implied, regarding the information. ASCO specifically disclaims any warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular use or purpose. ASCO assumes no responsibility for any injury or damage to persons or property arising out of or related to any use of this information, or for any errors or omissions.

#### Guideline and Conflicts of Interest

The Expert Panel was assembled in accordance with ASCO's Conflict of Interest Policy Implementation for Clinical Practice Guidelines ("Policy," found at https:// www.asco.org/guideline-methodology). All members of the Expert Panel completed ASCO's disclosure form, which requires disclosure of financial and other interests, including relationships with commercial entities that are reasonably likely to experience direct regulatory or commercial impact as a result of promulgation of the guideline. Categories for disclosure include employment; leadership; stock or other ownership; honoraria, consulting or advisory role; speaker's bureau; research funding; patents, royalties, other intellectual property; expert testimony; travel, accommodations, expenses; and other relationships. In accordance with the Policy, the majority of the members of the Expert Panel did not disclose any relationships constituting a conflict under the Policy.

## RESULTS

A total of 35 studies met the eligibility criteria of the systematic review and were pertinent to the development of the recommendations (Data Supplement, online only). Much of the evidence consisted of retrospective observational data in the form of case series and case reports. Such study designs represent low-quality evidence with an inherent risk of reporting bias, as only events of interest are described. Nonetheless, when describing a new entity in terms of its clinical manifestations, such reports provide important information to describe the range of phenotypes possible.<sup>6</sup> Other factors potentially contributing to the risk of bias in the included studies are the small sample sizes and retrospective nature of the evidence. Because of the limitations and low quality of the available evidence, the guideline panel developed expert opinion-based recommendations through an informal consensus process. Employment of formal consensus methodology was deemed unnecessary, favoring open discussion that allowed for the articulation of views and opinions instead.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

All recommendations in this guideline are expert consensus based; benefits outweigh harms; strength of recommendation: moderate.

#### **Clinical Question**

How should clinicians manage immune-mediated adverse events in adult patients with cancer treated with chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T-cell therapy?

#### 1. General Recommendations

- In patients with active infection, CAR T-cell infusion should be delayed until the infection has been successfully treated or controlled.<sup>7</sup>
- Inactivated influenza and COVID-19 vaccination of patients and family members is recommended, as per local guidelines and ASCO guidance (https:// www.asco.org/sites/new-www.asco.org/files/contentfiles/covid-19/2021-MSK-COVID19-VACCINE-GUIDE-LINES.pdf). Although the immunogenicity and efficacy of COVID-19 vaccines are uncertain in patients receiving immunomodulatory agents, the potential for benefit from vaccination likely outweighs these uncertainties for most patients.
- Strongly consider evaluation and/or transfer to a specialty center that has experience with CAR T toxicity management. If treated in an outpatient setting, it is advisable that patients remain within 2 hours of the treating center for 4-8 weeks post-therapy and should return to their treating center upon experiencing any toxicities.

## 2. Toxicity-Specific Recommendations

**2.1. Identification, evaluation, and management of cytokine** *release syndrome.* CRS is a disorder caused by the release

of cytokines from bystander immune and nonimmune cells.<sup>8</sup> The onset of CRS is variable and dependent on the CAR T-cell product and patient population, with peaks at 2-7 days after infusion and delays of up to 3 weeks reported.<sup>9</sup>

Presenting symptoms related to CAR T-cell–induced CRS may include fever, tachycardia, hypoxia, nausea, headache, rash, shortness of breath, mild or serious hypotension requiring vasopressors, respiratory failure, coagulopathy, and/or multiorgan system failure.

Refer to Table 1 for a complete set of recommendations, definition of grades, and additional considerations.

Discussion. CRS is defined by CTCAE as a "disorder characterized by fever, tachypnea, headache, tachycardia, hypotension, rash, and/or hypoxia caused by the release of cytokines."<sup>8</sup> Multiple grading systems have been developed over the past few years to grade CRS. Because of variability in grading and management of CRS, consensus criteria were developed by the American Society of Transplantation and Cellular Therapy (ASTCT) in 2019.<sup>10</sup> The definition of CRS used to develop the ASTCT consensus criteria is "a supraphysiologic response following any immune therapy that results in the activation or engagement of endogenous or infused T cells and/or other immune effector cells. Symptoms can be progressive, must include fever at the onset, and may include hypotension, capillary leak (hypoxia) and end organ dysfunction." CRS is primarily managed with interleukin-6 (IL-6) antagonists (tocilizumab) in lowergrade CRS and with corticosteroids in refractory, prolonged, or higher-grade CRS. Although there is limited experience with additional therapies, alternate IL-6 antagonist therapies including siltuximab and clazakizumab may be used for CRS refractory to tocilizumab. However, no direct comparative studies of the efficacy of available IL-6 antagonists have been conducted. Anakinra, an IL-1 receptor antagonist, has been shown to mitigate CRS in some CAR T-cell therapy recipients with high-grade CRS.<sup>11</sup>

## 2.2. Identification, Evaluation, and Management of CAR T–Related Neurotoxicity or Immune Effector Cell–Associated Neurotoxicity Syndrome

Neurotoxicity or ICANS is defined as a disorder characterized by a pathologic process involving the CNS following any immune effector therapy that results in the activation or engagement of endogenous or infused T cells and/or other immune effector cells. Symptoms or signs can be progressive and may include aphasia, altered level of consciousness, impairment of cognitive skills, motor weakness, seizures, and cerebral edema.<sup>10</sup>

The median time to onset is 4 days after infusion.<sup>9</sup>

Refer to Table 2 for a complete set of recommendations, definition of grades, and additional considerations.

#### TABLE 1. Cytokine Release Syndrome Recommendations

Workup or evaluation and supportive care recommendations (all grades):

CBC, CMP, magnesium, phosphorus, CRP, LDH, uric acid, fibrinogen, PT/PTT, and ferritin

Assess for infection with blood and urine cultures, and a chest radiograph if fever is present

If patient is neutropenic, follow institutional neutropenic fever guidelines

Patients who experience grade 2 or higher CRS (eg, hypotension, not responsive to fluids, or hypoxia requiring supplemental oxygenation) should be monitored with continuous cardiac telemetry and pulse oximetry. For patients experiencing severe CRS, consider performing an echocardiogram to assess cardiac function.

Perform cardiac monitoring in patients who experience at least G2 CRS, clinically significant arrhythmia, and additionally as clinically indicated Consider screening for CMV and EBV

Consider chest or abdominal CT imaging, brain MRI, and/or lumbar puncture.

#### Grading (on the basis of ASTCT consensus grading)<sup>10</sup> Management G1: Offer supportive care with antipyretics, IV hydration, and symptomatic management of organ Fever<sup>a</sup>: temperature $\geq$ 38°C not attributable to any toxicities and constitutional symptoms other cause May consider empiric broad-spectrum antibiotics if neutropenic. May consider G-CSF in Hypotension: none accordance with product guidelines. Note: GM-CSF is not recommended Hypoxia: none In patients with persistent (> 3 days) or refractory fever, consider managing as per G2 Continue supportive care as per G1 and include IV fluid bolus and/or supplemental oxygen G2: Fever<sup>a</sup>: temperature $\geq$ 38°C not attributable to any as needed Administer tocilizumab<sup>42-44</sup> 8 mg/kg IV over 1 hour (not to exceed 800 mg/dose). Repeat other cause plus every 8 hours if no improvement in signs and symptoms of CRS; limit to a maximum of Hypotension: not requiring vasopressors three doses in a 24-hour period, with a maximum of four doses total And/or In patients with hypotension that persists after two fluid boluses and after one to two doses of Hypoxia: requiring low-flow nasal cannula tocilizumab, may consider dexamethasone 10 mg IV (or equivalent) every 12 hours for (ie, oxygen delivered at $\leq$ 6 L/min) or blowby one to two doses and then reassess Manage per G3 if no improvement within 24 hours of starting tocilizumab G3: Continue supportive care as per G2 and include vasopressors as needed Fever<sup>a</sup>: temperature $\geq$ 38°C not attributable to any Admit patient to ICU If echocardiogram was not already performed, obtain ECHO to assess cardiac function and other cause conduct hemodynamic monitoring plus Tocilizumab as per G2 if maximum dose is not reached within 24-hour period plus Hypotension: requiring a vasopressor with or without vasopressin dexamethasone 10 mg IV every 6 hours (or equivalent) and rapidly taper once symptoms And/or improve Hypoxia: requiring high-flow nasal cannula, If refractory, manage as per G4 facemask, nonrebreather mask, or Venturi mask G4: Continue supportive care as per G3 plus mechanical ventilation as needed Fever<sup>a</sup>: temperature $\geq$ 38°C not attributable to any Administer tocilizumab as per G2 if maximum is not reached within 24-hour period other cause Initiate high-dose methylprednisolone at a dose of 500 mg IV every 12 hours for 3 days. followed by 250 mg IV every 12 hours for 2 days, 125 mg IV every 12 hours for 2 days, and plus 60 mg IV every 12 hours until CRS improvement to G1 Hypotension: requiring multiple vasopressors (excluding vasopressin) If not improving, consider methylprednisolone 1,000 mg IV 2 times a day or alternate And/or therapyb Hypoxia: requiring positive pressure (eg, CPAP, BiPAP, intubation, and mechanical ventilation) Additional considerations:

Organ toxicities associated with CRS may be graded according to CTCAE v5.0, but they do not influence CRS grading

CRS may be associated with cardiac, hepatic, and/or renal dysfunction

Earlier steroid use appears to reduce the rate of CAR T-cell treatment–related CRS and neurologic events and is recommended for some products (axicabtagene ciloleucel or brexucabtagene autoleuce)<sup>45-47</sup>

Strongly consider antifungal prophylaxis in patients receiving steroids for the treatment of CRS and/or ICANS

Abbreviations: ASTCT, American Society of Transplantation and Cellular Therapy; CAR T, chimeric antigen receptor T; BiPAP, bilevel positive airway pressure; CMP, comprehensive metabolic panel; CMV, cytomegalovirus; CPAP, continuous positive airway pressure; CRP, C-reactive protein; CRS, cytokine release syndrome; CT, computed tomography; CTCAE, Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events; EBV, Epstein-Barr virus; G, grade; G-CSF, granulocyte-colony stimulating factor; GM-CSF, granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor; ICANS, immune effector cell-associated neurotoxicity syndrome; ICU, intensive care unit; IV, intravenous; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; PT, prothrombin time; PTT, partial thromboplastin time

<sup>a</sup>Fever is not required to grade subsequent CRS severity in patients who receive antipyretics or anticytokine therapy (steroids or tocilizumab). Instead, CRS grading is driven by hypotension and/or hypoxia.<sup>10</sup>

<sup>b</sup>Noting limited experience with other agents, alternate options may include anakinra, siltuximab, ruxolitinib, cyclophosphamide, and antithymocyte globulin.<sup>11,46,48</sup>

Discussion. ICANS is the second major side effect that develops in a substantial proportion of patients treated with CD19-targeted CAR T cells and a small percentage of patients treated with B-cell maturation agent (BCMA)targeted CAR T cells. Clinical manifestations of ICANS include encephalopathy with confusion and behavioral changes, expressive aphasia or other language disturbance, dysgraphia, dysarthria, fine motor impairment and other weakness, tremor, myoclonus, and headache. In more severe cases, patients may become obtunded or develop seizures and require intubation for airway protection. In very rare cases, malignant cerebral edema may develop, which may be fatal. ICANS may occur concurrently with CRS, shortly after CRS abates, and with delayed onset occurring up to one month after infusion. ICANS is typically self-limited with symptoms most often lasting between 5 and 17 days. The time of onset, duration, and severity of ICANS may vary depending on the CAR product and the disease state of the patient. As for CRS, the ASTCT Consensus grading system is recommended for the grading of ICANS. The ICANS grading system incorporates the 10point Immune Effector Cell-Associated Encephalopathy (ICE) score, a standardized assessment for encephalopathy, and evaluation of other neurologic domains including level of consciousness, severe motor weakness, seizures, and signs of elevated intracranial pressure or cerebral edema.<sup>10</sup> Patients are graded according to the most severe symptom in any of the five domains. For children younger than 12 years or those with developmental delay, the Cornell Assessment of Pediatric Delirium score<sup>12</sup> is used in place of the ICE assessment. The ICE assessment can be used as a daily screen for the onset of ICANS during the atrisk period.

The mainstay of treatment of ICANS is supportive care and corticosteroids. Tocilizumab does not resolve ICANS and may worsen it. Because of the possibility that tocilizumab may worsen neurotoxicity, the management of ICANS may take precedence over the management of low-grade CRS when the two occur simultaneously. Although cases of low-grade neurotoxicity may resolve without intervention, for grade 2 or higher ICANS, corticosteroids are generally used. Patients who do not show improvement within 24 hours should have repeat neuroimaging followed by CSF evaluation including opening pressure measurement, if possible. Several other therapeutic approaches are under investigation in clinical trials including anakinra, lenzilumab, and defibrotide.<sup>13</sup>

## 2.3. Identification, Evaluation, and Management of HLH

HLH is defined as a disorder in which histiocytes and lymphocytes build up in organs including the skin, spleen, and liver and destroy other blood cells. Proposed diagnostic criteria for CAR T-cell–related HLH include elevated ferritin of > 10,000 ng/mL, along with at least two organ toxicities, including the presence of hemophagocytosis in bone marrow or organs, or at least grade 3 transaminitis, renal insufficiency, or pulmonary edema.<sup>14</sup>

Presenting symptoms related to CAR T-induced HLH may include fever; enlarged spleen; enlarged liver; swollen lymph nodes; skin rash; jaundice; lung problems such as coughing and trouble breathing; digestive problems such as stomach ache, vomiting, and diarrhea; and nervous system problems such as headaches, trouble walking, vision disturbances, and weakness.

Refer to Table 3 for a complete set of recommendations, definition of grades, and additional considerations.

Discussion. HLH has been observed in recipients of CAR T-cell therapy; however, it is relatively rare with an incidence of approximately 3.5%.<sup>15</sup> Diagnosis can be challenging in the setting of CAR T-cell therapy because of somewhat similar presentation to CRS. Clinical distinction of CRS versus HLH may be made via presenting signs and symptoms. Diagnostic criteria for HLH associated with CAR T-cell therapy have been proposed,<sup>14</sup> and although grading systems also exist, none are validated or commonly used. As such, management is not necessarily specified by grade. Corticosteroids and IL-6 antagonist therapy have been used to treat HLH in CAR T-cell therapy recipients with grade 3 or greater organ toxicity. Etoposide may be used; however, data in CAR T-cell therapy recipients are lacking.<sup>16</sup> Anakinra has been used for refractory HLH in CAR T-cell therapy recipients; however, clinical efficacy is unclear.11

## 2.4. Identification, Evaluation, and Management of Cytopenias

Cytopenias, including anemias, thrombocytopenia, leukopenia, and neutropenia, are defined as a disorder causing a reduction in the number of mature blood cells. Presenting symptoms related to CAR T-induced cytopenias may include fatigue, weakness, shortness of breath, poor concentration, dizziness or feeling lightheaded, cold hands and feet, frequent infections, fever, bleeding, and bruising easily.

Refer to Table 4 for a complete set of recommendations, definition of grades, and additional considerations.

**Discussion.** Cytopenias may occur after CAR T-cell therapy. Acute cytopenia within 3 months of infusion of CAR T-cell therapy is more common. However, prolonged cytopenias may be seen in a small number of CAR T-cell recipients. The mechanism of prolonged cytopenias remains unclear and may be multifactorial. Growth factor support and corticosteroids may be used in cases not related to myelodysplastic syndrome.<sup>17,18</sup>

## 2.5. Identification, Evaluation, and Management of B-Cell Aplasia

B-cell aplasia is defined as a disorder caused by the depletion or absence of B cells. Presenting symptoms related to CAR T–induced B-cell aplasia may include frequent infections, and signs include low B-cell counts and low immunoglobulin levels.

Refer to Table 5 for a complete set of recommendations, definition of grades, and additional considerations.

Santomasso et al

#### TABLE 2. ICANS Recommendations

Workup or evaluation and supportive care recommendations (all grades):

Routine neurologic evaluation including the ICE score for cognitive assessment and assessment of motor weakness conducted at least two times a day Continually reassess for improvement or deterioration and escalate or de-escalate treatment and monitoring accordingly Serial monitoring of laboratory tests including CRP, ferritin, CBC, CMP, fibrinogen, and PT/PTT

Consider seizure prophylaxis for CAR T-cell products known to be associated with ICANS or in patients at higher risk of seizure, such as those with seizure history, CNS disease, concerning EEG findings, or neoplastic brain lesions<sup>4,49,50</sup>

Initiate neurology consultation in patients with signs of neurotoxicity

Aspiration precautions and elevated head of bed

Neuroimaging of the brain (MRI with and without contrast or CT if MRI is not available or feasible) for  $\geq$  G2 neurotoxicity. For persistent grade  $\geq$  3 neurotoxicity, consider repeat neuroimaging (MRI or CT) every 2-3 days

Lumbar puncture for  $\geq$  G3 neurotoxicity and may consider for G2

EEG evaluation for unexplained altered mental status to assess seizure activity or for  $\geq$  G2 neurotoxicity

Monitor and correct severe hyponatremia

Grading (on the basis of ASTCT consensus grading) $^{10,\mathrm{a}}$	Management
G1: ICE score <sup>b</sup> : 7-9 with no depressed level of consciousness	No concurrent CRS Offer supportive care with IV hydration and aspiration precautions With concurrent CRS Administer tocilizumab 8 mg/kg IV over 1 hour (not to exceed 800 mg/dose). Repeat every 8 hours as needed. Limit to a maximum of three doses in a 24-hour period; maximum total of four doses. Caution with repeated tocilizumab doses in patients with ICANS. Consider adding corticosteroids to tocilizumab past the first dose
G2: ICE score <sup>b</sup> : 3-6 <i>And/or</i> Mild somnolence awaking to voice	No concurrent CRS Offer supportive care as per G1 For high-risk products or patients, consider dexamethasone 10 mg IV × two doses (or equivalent) and reassess. Repeat every 6-12 hours if no improvement. <sup>c</sup> Rapidly taper steroids as clinically appropriate once symptoms improve to G1 <sup>d</sup> With concurrent CRS Consider ICU transfer if ICANS associated with ≥ G2 CRS Administer tocilizumab as per G1 If refractory to tocilizumab past the first dose, initiate dexamethasone (10 mg IV every 6-12 hours <sup>c</sup> ) or methylprednisolone equivalent (1 mg/kg IV every 12 hours). Continue corticosteroids until improvement to grade 1, and then rapidly taper as clinically appropriate
G3: ICE score <sup>b</sup> : 0-2 And/or Depressed level of consciousness awakening only to tactile stimulus And/or Any clinical seizure focal or generalized that resolves rapidly or nonconvulsive seizures on EEG that resolve with intervention And/or Focal or local edema on neuroimaging	<ul> <li>All G3 patients: Transfer patient to ICU</li> <li>No concurrent CRS</li> <li>Administer dexamethasone (10 mg IV every 6-12 hours<sup>c</sup>) or methylprednisolone equivalent (1 mg/kg IV every 12 hours).</li> <li>With concurrent CRS</li> <li>Administer tocilizumab as per grade 1</li> <li>If refractory to tocilizumab past the first dose, initiate dexamethasone (10 mg IV every 6-12 hours<sup>c</sup>) or methylprednisolone equivalent (1 mg/kg IV every 12 hours). Continue corticosteroids until improvement to grade 1, and then rapidly taper as clinically appropriated</li> </ul>
G4: ICE score <sup>b</sup> : 0 (patient is unarousable and unable to perform ICE) And/or Stupor or coma And/or Life-threatening prolonged seizure (> 5 minutes) or repetitive clinical or electrical seizures without return to baseline in between And/or Diffuse cerebral edema on neuroimaging, decerebrate or decorticate posturing or papilledema, cranial nerve VI palsy, or Cushing's triad	<ul> <li>All G4 patients:</li> <li>Admit patient to ICU if not already receiving ICU care. Consider mechanical ventilation for airway protection</li> <li>No concurrent CRS</li> <li>Administer high-dose methylprednisolone IV 1,000 mg one to two times per day for 3 days</li> <li>If not improving, consider 1,000 mg of methylprednisolone two to three times per day or alternate therapy<sup>e</sup></li> <li>Continue corticosteroids until improvement to grade 1, and then taper as clinically appropriated</li> <li>Status epilepticus to be treated as per institutional guidelines</li> <li>With concurrent CRS</li> <li>Administer tocilizumab as per grade 1 in addition to methylprednisolone 1,000 mg IV one to two times per day for 3 days</li> <li>If not improving, consider 1,000 mg of methylprednisolone IV two to three times a day or alternate therapy<sup>e</sup></li> <li>Continue corticosteroids until improvement to grade 1, and then taper as clinically appropriated as per grade 1 in addition to methylprednisolone 1,000 mg IV one to two times per day for 3 days</li> <li>If not improving, consider 1,000 mg of methylprednisolone IV two to three times a day or alternate therapy<sup>e</sup></li> <li>Continue corticosteroids until improvement to grade 1, and then taper as clinically appropriated</li> </ul>

NOTE<sup>10</sup>. (1) Other signs and symptoms such as headache, tremor, myoclonus, asterixis, parkinsonism, and hallucinations may occur and could be attributed to immune effector cell–engaging therapies. Although they are not included in the grading scale, careful attention and directed therapy may be warranted. (2) A patient with an ICE score of 0 may be classified as grade 3 ICANS if awake with global aphasia, but a patient with an ICE score of 0 may be classified as grade 3 ICANS if awake with global aphasia, but a patient with an ICE score of 0 may be classified as grade 4 ICANS if unarousable. (3) Decreased level of consciousness should be attributable to no other cause (eg, no sedating medication). (4) In cases of ICANS with concurrent CRS, tocilizumab use is directed at the concurrent CRS as tocilizumab has not been shown to mitigate neurologic toxicity. (5) Because of the possibility that tocilizumab may worsen ICANS, the management of ICANS may take precedence over the management of low-grade CRS when the two occur simultaneously. For example, a patient with grade 2 ICANS and fever alone (grade 1 CRS) should be given steroids.

Abbreviations: ASTCT, American Society of Transplantation and Cellular Therapy; CAPD, Cornell Assessment of Pediatric Delirium; CAR T, chimeric antigen receptor T; CMP, comprehensive metabolic panel; CRP, C-reactive protein; CRS, cytokine release syndrome; CT, computed tomography; ICANS, Immune effector cell–associated neurotoxicity syndrome; ICE, immune effector cell–associated encephalopathy; ICU, intensive care unit; IV, intravenous; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; PT, prothrombin time; PTT, partial thromboplastin time.

<sup>a</sup>For children age < 12 years, the CAPD is recommended to aid in the overall grading of ICANS.<sup>12,51,52</sup> A CAPD score of  $\ge 9$  is suggestive of delirium and should be considered grade 3 ICANS. The CAPD score may also be used in patients age > 12 years with baseline developmental delay as it has been validated up to age 21 years.

<sup>b</sup>ICE Assessment Tool<sup>10</sup>: (1) Orientation: orientation to year, month, city, and hospital: 4 points. (2) Naming: ability to name three objects (eg, point to clock, pen, and button): 3 points. (3) Following commands: ability to follow simple commands (eg, show me 2 fingers or close your eyes and stick out your tongue): 1 point. (4) Writing: ability to write a standard sentence (eg, Our national bird is the bald eagle): 1 point. (5) Attention: ability to count backward from 100 by 10: 1 point.

<sup>c</sup>For some products that may be associated with more neurotoxicity (axicabtagene ciloleucel or brexucabtagene autoleucel), earlier administration of steroids starting at G1 ICANS and use of high-dose steroids at G3 may be an option.<sup>45-47</sup>

dICANS flares have been reported with rapid steroid taper.<sup>53</sup> Close monitoring for ICANS relapse is encouraged during steroid taper.<sup>53</sup>

<sup>e</sup>Noting limited experience with other agents and alternate options for persistent or worsening ICANS may include anakinra, siltuximab, ruxolitinib, cyclophosphamide, antithymocyte globulin, or intrathecal hydrocortisone (50 mg) plus methotrexate (12 mg).<sup>46,54</sup>

**Discussion.** B-cell aplasia is due to on-target off-tumor effect of CD19-directed CAR T-cell therapy. B-cell aplasia can be prolonged post-CAR T-cell therapy, and there is variability in rates of prolonged B-cell aplasia. The main complications of B-cell aplasia are infections, which can be managed with infusion of intravenous immunoglobulins.<sup>19-21</sup>

## 2.6. Identification, Evaluation, and Management of DIC

DIC is defined as a disorder characterized by systemic pathologic activation of blood clotting mechanisms, which results in clot formation throughout the body. There is an increase in the risk of hemorrhage as the body is depleted of platelets and coagulation factors. Presenting symptoms related to CAR T–induced DIC may include bleeding, bruising, low blood pressure, shortness of breath, and confusion.

Refer to Table 6 for a complete set of recommendations, definition of grades, and additional considerations.

**Discussion.** Coagulopathies have been observed after CAR T-cell therapy and usually occur acutely, within the first few weeks after CAR T-cell infusion. DIC can occur with or without concurrent CRS. Recommended treatment is primarily supportive care and factor replacement on the basis of fibrinogen levels, partial thromboplastin time, and bleeding occurrences. Corticosteroids and IL-6 antagonist therapy can be used in the case of concurrent CRS or in the setting of severe bleeding complications. Evidence of other effective interventions is limited.<sup>22,23</sup>

## 2.7. Identification, Evaluation, and Management of Infections

Infections are defined as the presence of clinical findings plus corroborating laboratory, radiographic, histopathologic, and/or microbiologic evidence. Infections are classified as bacterial, viral, and fungal. Presenting symptoms related to CAR T-induced infections may include fever, nausea, worsening fatigue, headache, myalgias, and malaise.

Refer to Table 7 for a complete set of recommendations, definition of grades, and additional considerations.

**Discussion.** Infections are common after CAR T-cell therapy. Most infectious complications in CAR T-cell recipients occur early after CAR T-cell infusion. However, infectious complications can occur several weeks to months after CAR T-cell infusion. Late infections have been correlated with prolonged B-cell aplasia and corticosteroids use to treat CRS and/or ICANS.<sup>24</sup> Treatment is directed at the infectious source. Prophylactic antimicrobials are recommended for CAR T-cell recipients with prolonged cytopenias.

## SURVIVORSHIP

ASCO promotes the use of survivorship care plans (SCPs) to enhance communication between the oncology team and patient and to improve communication and coordination of care between the oncology team and primary care provider (https://www.asco.org/practice-policy/cancer-care-initiatives/ prevention-survivorship/survivorship/survivorship-5). Although SCPs are traditionally based on tumor type and treatments, they also contain individualized information about the given treatment(s), the need for future follow-up, tests for cancer and treatment-related toxicities, the potential chronic, long-term adverse effects from treatments, and health promotion after completion of treatment. SCPs for post-CAR T-cell therapy should include regularly scheduled follow-up visits. These patients are to be followed for up to 15 years as part of an ongoing registry to monitor long-term safety, as mandated by the US Food and Drug Administration,<sup>25,26</sup> and may be integrated into an existing SCP.

#### TABLE 3. HLH Recommendations

Workup or	r evaluation <sup>55</sup> :		
CBC wit	th differential and coagulation studies (PT, aPTT, fibrinogen, and D-dimer)		
Liver fur	nction tests (ALT, AST, GGT, total bilirubin, albumin, and lactate dehydrogenase)		
Serum t	triglycerides (fasting) and serum ferritin		
Soluble	IL-2 receptor alpha (sCD25 or sIL-2R) and/or CXCL9		
	owing testing should be performed in all patients, on the basis of the signs and symptoms of specific organ involvement and/or the degree of cion for the presence of HLH <sup>55</sup> :		
Cultu	res of blood, bone marrow, urine, and CSF, and viral titers and quantitative PCR testing for EBV, CMV, adenovirus, and other suspected viruses. llow levels of any identified virus during treatment with the appropriate antiviral therapy		
Bone	marrow aspirate and biopsy		
Electr	rocardiograph, chest radiography, and echocardiogram		
Lumb	par puncture with CSF analysis		
Brain	MRI scan, with and without contrast. Imaging of the CNS may show parameningeal infiltrations, subdural effusions, necrosis, and other		
abr	normalities		
Grading	Management <sup>14,56,57</sup>		
All grades	Offer supportive care		
	Use corticosteroids if the patient is deteriorating or unstable		
	Although data are insufficient to recommend a transfusion threshold, replacement of fibrinogen should be considered in patients with a fibrinogen level below 150 mg/dL		
Manage $G \ge 3$ organ toxicity with IL-6 antagonist plus corticosteroids			
	If insufficient response after 48 hours, consider adding anakinra <sup>11,58,59</sup>		

Etoposide could be considered in severe, refractory cases, although there is a lack of data in this setting and concern for effect on lymphocytes.<sup>16,60</sup> Intrathecal cytarabine, with or without hydrocortisone, may also be considered for patients with HLH-associated neurotoxicity

Abbreviations: aPTT, activated partial thromboplastin time; CAR T, chimeric antigen receptor T; CMP, comprehensive metabolic panel; CMV, cytomegalovirus; CRP, C-reactive protein; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; EBV, Epstein-Barr virus; G, grade; G-CSF, granulocyte-colony stimulating factor; GGT, gamma-glutamyl transferase; HLH, hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis; IL, interleukin; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; PT, prothrombin time.

Although the acute toxicities of CAR T-cell therapy, such as CRS and ICANS, are well-defined, less is known about the long-term and late effects facing cancer survivors who receive CAR T-cell therapy, although data are beginning to emerge as survival of these patients increases. Longterm and late effects of CAR T-cell therapy may include cytopenias and infection, as well as B-cell aplasia and hypogammaglobulinemia.<sup>27-29</sup> Other late effects may include secondary malignancies, potential neurologic toxicities, fatigue, diminished bone health, and infertility.<sup>27,28</sup> Patients also often struggle with concerns about disease recurrence and cancer progression. Despite limitations in current knowledge, it remains important for clinicians to discuss some of the common late effects seen in the context of survivorship care and oncology, acknowledging the data gaps and the need for more robust long-term data from patients who received CAR T-cell therapy. These patients should continue to be monitored as they may require growth factors, transfusions, immunoglobulin infusions, and antimicrobial prophylaxis.<sup>27,28</sup>

## Special Consideration During the COVID-19 Pandemic

The COVID-19 pandemic has increased the complexity of cancer care and required oncology practices to make operational changes to protect the safety of patients and staff, adjust to resource shortages, and comply with national and state restrictions on elective procedures (https://

www.asco.org/sites/new-www.asco.org/files/content-files/ 2020-ASCO-Guide-Cancer-COVID19.pdf). With a gradual ease in pandemic-related restrictions, oncology practices are balancing the risks of COVID-19 with restoring patient access to diagnostics, treatments, and other critical cancer care services. These issues and recommendations are covered separately in the ASCO Special Report: A Guide to Cancer Delivery During the COVID-19 Pandemic.

Although the management of patients with cancer who may be affected by COVID-19 is beyond the scope of this guideline, patients undergoing immunotherapeutic treatment are of special concern, with both diagnostic and therapeutic implications. It can be challenging for clinicians to reach the correct diagnosis in patients receiving immunotherapy who develop symptoms consistent with either immune-related adverse events (irAEs) or COVID-19. Uncertainty around the correct diagnosis may then delay the initiation of appropriate management strategies, such as glucocorticoids for irAEs.

Vaccination of patients with cancer and family members for COVID-19 is, in general, recommended (https://www.asco.org/ sites/new-www.asco.org/files/content-files/covid-19/2021-MSK-COVID19-VACCINE-GUIDELINES.pdf). Although the immunogenicity and efficacy of COVID-19 vaccines are uncertain in patients receiving immunomodulatory agents. the potential for benefit from vaccination likely outweighs these uncertainties for most patients.

#### TABLE 4. Cytopenia Recommendations

Workup or evaluation:

CBC with differential, peripheral blood smear, reticulocyte count. If abnormalities are detected and further investigation is necessary for a diagnosis, proceed with bone marrow evaluation

Grading	Management
G1: anemia: LLN—10.0 g/dL; neutropenia: $>$ 1,500 per mm³; thrombocytopenia: $>$ 75,000 per mm³	Offer supportive care
G2: anemia: $<$ 10.0-8.0 g/dL; neutropenia: $>$ 1,000 per mm³; thrombocytopenia: $>$ 50,000 per mm³	Offer supportive care and/or consider corticosteroids If improved to $\leq$ G1, taper steroids over 4-6 weeks
G3: anemia: < 8.0/dL; neutropenia: > 500 per mm <sup>3</sup> ; thrombocytopenia: > 25,000 per mm <sup>3</sup> G4: anemia: life-threatening; neutropenia: < 500 per mm <sup>3</sup> ; thrombocytopenia: < 25,000 per mm <sup>3</sup>	Critical care support Use high-dose methylprednisolone Consider growth factor support for neutrophil recovery, per institutional guidelines

Abbreviations: G, grade; LLN, lower limit of normal.

### PATIENT AND CLINICIAN COMMUNICATION

As immunotherapeutic treatment for cancer continues to evolve with single agents and in new combinations, it is imperative that patients and family caregivers receive timely and up-to-date education about CAR T-cell therapies, their mechanism of action, and the clinical profile of possible irAEs. Patient and caregiver education should occur before initiating therapy and continue throughout treatment and survivorship. It should be emphasized that CAR T-cell therapy works differently than traditional chemotherapy and that these treatments elicit unique therapeutic responses and corresponding irAEs.<sup>30</sup> This response can be unique to each patient, and irAEs may occur across the treatment trajectory, from the start of treatment, and into survivorship. Most notably, the ability to influence immune response even after discontinuation of the immunotherapeutic agent is a unique feature and important education point for patients and their caregivers. As such, patients should be encouraged to alert all health care providers that they are receiving or have received CAR T-cell therapy and to report any changes in health status to each provider. This is important as patients are often seen by multiple providers and each provider should be aware of the potential for irAEs.

Using a questionnaire or standard assessment may assist the provider and patient to recognize possible irAEs. In addition, health care professionals should ask patients about any new symptoms or changes in their health—no matter how small they may seem. Minor changes in how a patient is feeling may indicate early signs of an AE, and patients may not attribute the change to their cancer treatment.<sup>31</sup> Consistent assessment and documentation at each encounter will also enable the clinical team to note changes that may occur over time. Close monitoring throughout treatment is important as minimal changes in a patient's baseline status may indicate an early irAE.

Wallet cards detailing symptoms to watch for and how to notify their health care provider may be an effective tool in empowering patients and their caregivers to recognize and manage irAEs and may be useful to other health care providers (eg, emergency department staff) caring for

TABLE 5. B-Cell Aplasia Recommendat
-------------------------------------

Workup or evaluation: Full blood count	
Grading	Management <sup>7,49,61,62</sup>
All grades	<ul> <li>Recommend influenza and COVID vaccination for patients and family members</li> <li>Antiviral and PJP prophylaxis per institutional standards, for 6-12 months following CAR T-cell infusion and/or until the CD4 cell count is &gt; 200 cells/μL</li> <li>Antifungal agents should be considered for high-risk patients including any patient receiving corticosteroids for management of CRS or ICANS</li> </ul>
G1: asymptomatic, no intervention needed	Offer supportive care
G2: symptomatic (ie, recurrent infections), nonurgent intervention indicated	Consider treatment with IVIG replacement therapy at IgG levels $<400$
G3: urgent intervention indicated G4: life-threatening	Consider treatment with IVIG replacement therapy at IgG levels $<400$

Abbreviations: CAR T, chimeric antigen receptor T; CD4, cluster of differentiation; CRS, cytokine release syndrome; G, grade; G-CSF, granulocyte-colony stimulating factor; ICANS, immune effector cell–associated neurotoxicity syndrome; IgG, immunoglobulin G; IVIG, intravenous immunoglobulin; PJP, *Pneumocystis jiroveci* pneumonia.

#### TABLE 6. DIC

#### Workup or evaluation:

Full blood count to assess platelet number, fibrinogen, PT, PTT, and d-dimer. A test scoring system developed by the ISTH may be used to help determine if DIC is present.<sup>63</sup> The higher the score, the more likely it is that DIC is present

Grading	Management
G1: —	Offer supportive care
G2: laboratory findings with no bleeding	Use IL-6 antagonist with or without corticosteroids If improved to $\leq$ G1, taper steroids over 4-6 weeks
G3: laboratory findings with bleeding G4: life-threatening; urgent intervention indicated	Critical care support Use IL-6 antagonist and methylprednisolone IV 1,000 mg/day for 3 days, followed by rapid taper at 250 mg every 12 hours for 2 days, 125 mg every 12 hours for 2 days, and 60 mg every 12 hours for 2 days Consider replacement of fibrinogen in patients with a fibrinogen level below 150 mg/dL

Abbreviations: DIC, disseminated intravascular coagulation; G, grade; IL, interleukin; ISTH, International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis; PT, prothrombin time; PTT, partial thromboplastin time.

patients with a history of immunotherapy.<sup>32</sup> This card should include signs and symptoms that warrant emergency department or doctor visits and any contraindication after CAR T-cell drug administration. Information for health care professionals should include the date of CAR T-cell drug administration, contact of the treating oncologist, and precaution with prescribing corticosteroids or cytotoxic medications.

For recommendations and strategies to optimize patientclinician communication, see Patient-Clinician Communication: American Society of Clinical Oncology Consensus Guideline.<sup>33</sup>

## **HEALTH DISPARITIES**

Although ASCO clinical practice guidelines represent expert recommendations on the best practices in disease management to provide the highest level of cancer care, it is important to note that many patients have limited access to medical care. Racial and ethnic disparities in health care contribute significantly to this problem in the United States. Patients with cancer who are members of racial or ethnic minorities suffer disproportionately from comorbidities, experience more substantial obstacles to receiving care, are more likely to be uninsured, and are at greater risk of receiving care of poor quality than other Americans.<sup>34-37</sup> Access to CAR T-cell therapy is dependent on a complex interplay of several factors and stakeholders, including referring physicians, manufacturers, payers, and treatment facilities.<sup>38</sup> Evidence of disparate access to CAR T-cell therapy comes from recent systematic reviews;<sup>39,40</sup> however, more studies are necessary to thoroughly understand how the factors intersect to create and maintain disparities in

## TABLE 7. Infections

Workup or eva	luation:	
History and	physical	examination

Full blood count

Bacterial cultures and evaluation for other infection (fungal and viral)

Grading	Management <sup>7,49,61,62</sup>
All grades	<ul> <li>Antiviral and PJP prophylaxis per institutional standards, for 6-12 months following CAR T-cell infusion and/or until the CD4 cell count is &gt; 200 cells/μL</li> <li>Antifungal agents should be considered for high-risk patients</li> <li>G-CSF should be considered in patients after CRS with &gt; 7 days of neutropenia</li> </ul>
G1: mild infection only	Offer supportive care Empiric antimicrobials (antibiotics such as levofloxacin or ciprofloxacin, antifungals such as fluconazole or antivirals such as valacyclovir or acyclovir) should be considered upon onset of fever
G2: mild infection; oral intervention indicated (eg, antibiotic, antifungal, or antiviral)	Start course of oral antimicrobials
G3: severe infection; IV antibiotic, antifungal, or antiviral intervention indicated; invasive intervention indicated	Start IV antimicrobials
G4: life-threatening consequences; urgent intervention indicated	Critical care support

Abbreviations: CAR T, chimeric antigen receptor T; CD4, cluster of differentiation; CRS, cytokine release syndrome; G, grade; G-CSF, granulocyte-colony stimulating factor; IV, intravenous; PJP, *Pneumocystis jiroveci* pneumonia

cancer treatment, which include treatment facility characteristics, geographic location and distance to treatment facility, insurance type, age, race, and income.<sup>38,39</sup> Awareness of these disparities in access to care should be considered in the context of this clinical practice guideline, and health care providers should strive to deliver the highest level of cancer care to these vulnerable populations.

## **MULTIPLE CHRONIC CONDITIONS**

Creating evidence-based recommendations to inform treatment of patients with additional chronic conditions, a situation in which the patient may have two or more such conditions-referred to as multiple chronic conditions (MCCs)—is challenging. Patients with MCCs are a complex and heterogeneous population, making it difficult to account for all the possible permutations to develop specific recommendations for care. In addition, the best available evidence for treating index conditions, such as cancer, is often from clinical trials whose study selection criteria may exclude patients with MCCs, such as pre-existing autoimmune diseases, to avoid potential interaction effects or confounding of results associated with MCC. As a result, the reliability of outcome data from these studies may be limited, thereby creating constraints for expert groups to make recommendations for care in this heterogeneous patient population.

As many patients for whom guideline recommendations apply present with MCC, including pre-existing autoimmune diseases, any treatment plan needs to take into account the complexity and uncertainty created by the presence of MCC and highlights the importance of shared decision making regarding guideline use and implementation. Therefore, in consideration of recommended care for the target index condition, clinicians should review all other chronic conditions present in the patient and take those conditions into account when formulating the management and follow-up plan.

In light of these considerations, practice guidelines should provide information on how to apply the recommendations for patients with MCC, perhaps as a qualifying statement for recommended care. This may mean that some or all of the recommended care options are modified or not applied, as determined by best practice in consideration of any MCC.

## **EXTERNAL REVIEW AND OPEN COMMENT**

The draft set of recommendations was submitted to an external reviewer with content expertise to obtain direct feedback. A public open comment period was also held from February 8 to February 22, 2021. Response categories of "Agree as written," "Agree with suggested modifications," and "Disagree. See comments" were captured for every proposed recommendation. A total of 16 respondents, who had not previously reviewed the recommendations, either agreed or agreed with slight modifications to the majority of recommendations. Expert

Panel members reviewed comments from all sources and determined whether to maintain original draft recommendations, revise with minor language changes, or consider major recommendation revisions. All changes were incorporated before Clinical Practice Guidelines Committee review and approval.

## **GUIDELINE IMPLEMENTATION**

ASCO guidelines are developed for implementation across health settings. Barriers to implementation include the need to increase awareness of the guideline recommendations among frontline practitioners and survivors of cancer and caregivers and also to provide adequate services in the face of limited resources. The guideline Bottom Line Box was designed to facilitate implementation of recommendations. This guideline will be distributed widely through the ASCO Practice Guideline Implementation Network. ASCO guidelines are posted on the ASCO website and most often published in the *Journal of Clinical Oncology*.

## ASCO believes that cancer clinical trials are vital to inform medical decisions and improve cancer care and that all patients should have the opportunity to participate.

In conclusion, guidance on the management of toxicities related to CAR T-cell therapy is in demand. This guideline and its recommendations are intended to assist the clinician with strategies and best practices to rapidly recognize, diagnose, and coordinate with other medical subspecialties and manage these sets of unique toxicities. The rapidly evolving data on the topic of CAR T-cell therapy and its toxicities warrant our dedication to provide these updated analyses and recommendations routinely.

## **ADDITIONAL RESOURCES**

More information, including a supplement with additional evidence tables, slide sets, and clinical tools and resources, is available at www.asco.org/supportive-care-guidelines. Patient information is available at www.cancer.net.

## **RELATED ASCO GUIDELINES**

- Management of Immune-Related Adverse Events in Patients Treated With Immune Checkpoint Inhibitor Therapy: American Society of Clinical Oncology Clinical Practice Guideline Update (in press)
- Integration of Palliative Care into Standard Oncology Practice<sup>41</sup> (http://ascopubs.org/doi/ 10.1200/JCO.2016.70.1474)
- Patient-Clinician Communication<sup>33</sup> (http:// ascopubs.org/doi/10.1200/JCO.2017.75.2311)

#### **AFFILIATIONS**

- <sup>1</sup>Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY
- <sup>2</sup>MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX
- <sup>3</sup>American Society of Clinical Oncology, Alexandria, VA
- <sup>4</sup>University of Michigan Health System, Ann Arbor, MI
- <sup>5</sup>Washington University, St Louis, MO
- <sup>6</sup>Georgetown Lombardi Comprehensive Cancer Center, Washington, DC
- <sup>7</sup>The Ohio State University Wexner Medical Center, Columbus, OH <sup>8</sup>Royal Marsden Hospital and Institute of Cancer Research, London and Surrey, United Kingdom
- <sup>9</sup>Smilow Cancer Hospital and Yale School of Nursing, New Haven, CT
- <sup>10</sup>National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, MD
- <sup>11</sup>Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH
- <sup>12</sup>Cancer Care Associates PC, Royal Oak, MI
- <sup>13</sup>Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD
- <sup>14</sup>Beaumont Hospital, Dublin, Ireland and Sidney Kimmel
- Comprehensive Cancer Center, Baltimore, MD
- <sup>15</sup>City of Hope, Duarte, CA
- <sup>16</sup>Patient Advocate, Colon Cancer Alliance, Washington, DC
- <sup>17</sup>Georgetown University, Washington, DC
- <sup>18</sup>Patient Advocate, MGH Cancer Center, Boston, MA
- <sup>19</sup>Virginia Cancer Specialists and US Oncology, Fairfax, VA
- <sup>20</sup>Huntsman Cancer Institute, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT
- <sup>21</sup>Seattle Cancer Care Alliance, University of Washington/Fred
- Hutchinson, Seattle, WA
- <sup>22</sup>University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA
- <sup>23</sup>NYU Langone Medical Center, New York, NY
- <sup>24</sup>Scripps MD Anderson Cancer Center, San Diego, CA
- <sup>25</sup>University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI

### **CORRESPONDING AUTHOR**

American Society of Clinical Oncology, 2318 Mill Rd, Suite 800, Alexandria, VA 22314; e-mail: guidelines@asco.org.

## **EDITOR'S NOTE**

This ASCO Clinical Practice Guideline provides recommendations, with comprehensive review and analyses of the relevant literature for each recommendation. Additional information, including a supplement with additional evidence tables, slide sets, clinical tools and resources, and links to patient information at www.cancer.net, is available at www.asco.org/supportive-care-guidelines.

### **EQUAL CONTRIBUTION**

B.J.S. and K.B. were Expert Panel cochairs.

## AUTHORS' DISCLOSURES OF POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Disclosures provided by the authors are available with this article at DOI https://doi.org/10.1200/JC0.21.01992.

## **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

**Conception and design:** Bianca D. Santomasso, Loretta J. Nastoupil, Bryan J. Schneider, Milan Anadkat, Marianne J. Davies, Marc S. Ernstoff, Pauline Funchain, Ishmael Jaiyesimi, Jarushka Naidoo, Aung Naing, Laura D. Porter, Jung Min Song, Maria Suarez-Almazor, Umang Swami, John A. Thompson, Kathryn Bollin

Administrative support: Christina Lacchetti, Milan Anadkat

Provision of study materials or patients: Jarushka Naidoo, Jung Min Song, Alexander Spira

**Collection and assembly of data:** Sherry Adkins, Christina Lacchetti, Bryan J. Schneider, Kelly J. Brassil, Marianne J. Davies, Jarushka Naidoo, Laura D. Porter, Alexander Spira, Umang Swami, Praveen Vikas, Yinghong Wang, Kathryn Bollin

**Data analysis and interpretation:** Loretta J. Nastoupil, Christina Lacchetti, Bryan J. Schneider, Milan Anadkat, Kelly J. Brassil, Jeffrey M. Caterino, Ian Chau, Marianne J. Davies, Marc S. Ernstoff, Leslie Fecher, Pauline Funchain, Ishmael Jaiyesimi, Jennifer S. Mammen, Jarushka Naidoo, Tanyanika Phillips, Laura D. Porter, Cristina A. Reichner, Carole Seigel, Jung Min Song, Alexander Spira, Maria Suarez-Almazor, Umang Swami, John A. Thompson, Praveen Vikas, Yinghong Wang, Jeffrey S. Weber, Monalisa Ghosh

Manuscript writing: All authors Final approval of manuscript: All authors Accountable for all aspects of the work: All authors

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The Expert Panel would like to thank Drs Lisa Law and Peter Van Veldhuizen and the entire Clinical Practice Guidelines Committee as well as external reviewers, Drs Kim Margolin and Sunil Arani Reddy, for their thoughtful reviews and insightful comments on this guideline.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Mohanty R, Chowdhury CR, Arega S, et al: CAR T cell therapy: A new era for cancer treatment (Review). Oncol Rep 42:2183-2195, 2019
- 2. Liu D: CAR-T "the living drugs", immune checkpoint inhibitors, and precision medicine: A new era of cancer therapy. J Hematol Oncol 12:113, 2019
- 3. Yáñez L, Sánchez-Escamilla M, Perales M-A: CAR T cell toxicity: Current management and future directions. Hemasphere 3:e186, 2019
- 4. Dietrich J, Frigault MJ. Immune Effector Cell-Associated Neurotoxicity Syndrome (ICANS), Section Editor: Wen PY, Deputy Editor: Eichler AF. Waltham, MA, UpToDate, 2021. http://www.uptodate.com
- Shiffman RN, Michel G, Rosenfeld RM, et al: Building better guidelines with BRIDGE-Wiz: Development and evaluation of a software assistant to promote clarity, transparency, and implementability. J Am Med Inform Assoc 19:94-101, 2012
- Cappelli LC, Gutierrez AK, Bingham CO III, et al: Rheumatic and musculoskeletal immune-related adverse events due to immune checkpoint inhibitors: A systematic review of the literature. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken) 69:1751-1763, 2017
- Yakoub-Agha I, Chabannon C, Bader P, et al: Management of adults and children undergoing chimeric antigen receptor T-cell therapy: Best practice recommendations of the European Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation (EBMT) and the Joint Accreditation Committee of ISCT and EBMT (JACIE). Haematologica 105:297-316, 2020
- 8. National Cancer Institute: Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events Version 5.0. Bethesda, MD, National Cancer Institute, 2017
- 9. Frey N, Porter D: Cytokine release syndrome with chimeric antigen receptor T cell therapy. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant 25:e123-e127, 2019
- Lee DW, Santomasso BD, Locke FL, et al: ASTCT consensus grading for cytokine release syndrome and neurologic toxicity associated with immune effector cells. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant 25:625-638, 2019
- 11. Strati P, Ahmed S, Kebriaei P, et al: Clinical efficacy of anakinra to mitigate CAR T-cell therapy-associated toxicity in large B-cell lymphoma. Blood Adv 4: 3123-3127, 2020
- 12. Traube C, Silver G, Kearney J, et al: Cornell assessment of pediatric delirium: A valid, rapid, observational tool for screening delirium in the PICU\*. Crit Care Med 42:656-663, 2014

- 13. Danish H, Santomasso BD: Neurotoxicity biology and management. Cancer J 27:126-133, 2021
- 14. Neelapu SS, Tummala S, Kebriaei P, et al: Chimeric antigen receptor T-cell therapy—Assessment and management of toxicities. Nat Rev Clin Oncol 15:47-62, 2018
- Sandler RD, Tattersall RS, Schoemans H, et al: Diagnosis and management of secondary HLH/MAS following HSCT and CAR-T cell therapy in adults; A review of the literature and a survey of practice within EBMT centres on behalf of the Autoimmune Diseases Working Party (ADWP) and Transplant Complications Working Party (TCWP). Front Immunol 11:524, 2020
- Hashmi H, Bachmeier C, Chavez JC, et al: Haemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis has variable time to onset following CD19 chimeric antigen receptor T cell therapy. Br J Haematol 187:e35-e38, 2019
- 17. Nahas GR, Komanduri KV, Pereira D, et al: Incidence and risk factors associated with a syndrome of persistent cytopenias after CAR-T cell therapy (PCTT). Leuk Lymphoma 61:940-943, 2020
- Schaefer A, Saygin C, Maakaron J, et al: Cytopenias after chimeric antigen receptor T-cells (CAR-T) infusion; patterns and outcomes. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant 25:S171, 2019
- 19. Hirayama AV, Turtle CJ: Toxicities of CD19 CAR-T cell immunotherapy. Am J Hematol 94:S42-s49, 2019
- 20. Schuster SJ, Svoboda J, Chong EA, et al: Chimeric antigen receptor T cells in refractory B-cell lymphomas. N Engl J Med 377:2545-2554, 2017
- 21. Kochenderfer JN, Dudley ME, Feldman SA, et al: B-cell depletion and remissions of malignancy along with cytokine-associated toxicity in a clinical trial of anti-CD19 chimeric-antigen-receptor-transduced T cells. Blood 119:2709-2720, 2012
- 22. Wang Y, Qi K, Cheng H, et al: Coagulation disorders after chimeric antigen receptor T cell therapy: Analysis of 100 patients with relapsed and refractory hematologic malignancies. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant 26:865-875, 2020
- Buechner J, Grupp SA, Hiramatsu H, et al: Practical guidelines for monitoring and management of coagulopathy following tisagenlecleucel CAR T-cell therapy. Blood Adv 5:593-601, 2021
- 24. Wudhikarn K, Palomba ML, Pennisi M, et al: Infection during the first year in patients treated with CD19 CAR T cells for diffuse large B cell lymphoma. Blood Cancer J 10:79, 2020
- 25. US Food and Drug Administration: Biologics license application approval letter for axicabtagene ciloleucel, 2017. https://www.fda.gov/media/108458/ download
- 26. US Food and Drug Administration: Biologics license application approval letter for tisagenlecleucel, 2017. https://www.fda.gov/media/106989/download
- 27. Buitrago J, Adkins S, Hawkins M, et al: Adult survivorship: Considerations following CAR T-cell therapy. Clin J Oncol Nurs 23:42-48, 2019
- 28. Cordeiro A, Bezerra ED, Hirayama AV, et al: Late events after treatment with CD19-targeted chimeric antigen receptor modified T cells. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant 26:26-33, 2020
- Levine JE, Grupp SA, Pulsipher MA, et al: Pooled safety analysis of tisagenlecleucel in children and young adults with B cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. J Immunother Cancer 9:e002287, 2021
- 30. Bayer V, Amaya B, Baniewicz D, et al: Cancer immunotherapy: An evidence-Based overview and implications for practice. Clin J Oncol Nurs 21:13-21, 2017
- 31. Vazquez A: Hypophysitis: Nursing management of immune-related adverse events. Clin J Oncol Nurs 21:154-156, 2017
- 32. Rubin KM: Understanding immune checkpoint inhibitors for effective patient care. Clin J Oncol Nurs 19:709-717, 2015
- Gilligan T, Coyle N, Frankel RM, et al: Patient-clinician communication: American Society of Clinical Oncology consensus guideline. J Clin Oncol 35:3618-3632, 2017
- 34. Jones K, Siegel L, Mead HC, et al: Racial and Ethnic Disparities in U.S. Health Care: A Chartbook. New York, NY, Commonwealth Fund, 2008
- 35. Howlader N, Noone AM, Krapcho M, et al (eds): SEER Cancer Statistics Review, 1975-2013. Bethesda MD, National Cancer Institute, 2016
- American Cancer Society. Cancer Facts & Figures for African Americans 2016-2018. Atlanta GA, American Cancer Society, 2016. http://www.cancer.org/acs/ groups/content/@editorial/documents/document/acspc-047403.pdf
- UCSW: United States Cancer Statistics: 1999–2012 Incidence and Mortality Web-Based Report. Atlanta, GA, US Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and National Cancer Institute, 2015
- Kansagra A, Farnia S, Majhail N: Expanding access to chimeric antigen receptor T-cell therapies: Challenges and opportunities. Am Soc Clin Oncol Ed Book 40: e27-e34, 2020
- Jutagir DR, Espinosa A, Lopez M, et al: Disparities in the use of checkpoint inhibitors and CAR T-cell therapy: A systematic review. J Clin Oncol 39, 2021 (suppl; absrt e18541)
- van Overbeeke E, Michelsen S, Toumi M, et al: Market access of gene therapies across Europe, USA, and Canada: Challenges, trends, and solutions. Drug Discov Today 26:399-415, 2021
- Ferrell BR, Temel JS, Temin S, et al: Integration of palliative care into standard oncology care: American Society of Clinical Oncology clinical practice guideline update. J Clin Oncol 35:96-112, 2016
- 42. Le RQ, Li L, Yuan W, et al: FDA approval summary: Tocilizumab for treatment of chimeric antigen receptor T cell-induced severe or life-Threatening cytokine release syndrome. Oncologist 23:943-947, 2018
- 43. Prescribing information: Tocilizumab. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2013/125276s092lbl.pdf
- 44. Gardner RA, Ceppi F, Rivers J, et al: Preemptive mitigation of CD19 CAR T-cell cytokine release syndrome without attenuation of antileukemic efficacy. Blood 134:2149-2158, 2019
- 45. Topp M, Van Meerten T, Houot R, et al: Earlier steroid use with axicabtagene ciloleucel (Axi-Cel) in patients with relapsed/refractory large B cell lymphoma. Blood 134, 2019 (abstr 243)
- 46. Kite Pharma Inc: YESCARTA (axicabtagene ciloleucel) [package insert]. US Food and Drug Administration website. https://www.fda.gov/media/108377/
- 47. Kite Pharma Inc: TECARTUS (brexucabtagene autoleucel) [package insert]. US Food and Drug Administration website. https://www.fda.gov/media/140409/
- 48. Pan J, Deng B, Ling Z, et al: Ruxolitinib mitigates steroid-refractory CRS during CAR T therapy. J Cell Mol Med 25:1089-1099, 2021
- Kansagra AJ, Frey NV, Bar M, et al: Clinical utilization of chimeric antigen receptor T cells in B cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia: An expert opinion from the European Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation and the American Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant 25: e76-e85, 2019
- 50. Garcia Borrega J, Gödel P, Rüger MA, et al: In the eye of the storm: Immune-mediated toxicities associated with CAR-T cell therapy. Hemasphere 3:e91, 2019
- 51. Mahadeo KM, Khazal SJ, Abdel-Azim H, et al: Management guidelines for paediatric patients receiving chimeric antigen receptor T cell therapy. Nat Rev Clin Oncol 16:45-63, 2019
- Silver G, Kearney J, Traube C, et al: Delirium screening anchored in child development: The Cornell Assessment for Pediatric Delirium. Palliat Support Care 13: 1005-1011, 2015

- Holtzman NG, Xie H, Bentzen S, et al: Immune effector cell-associated neurotoxicity syndrome after chimeric antigen receptor T-cell therapy for lymphoma: Predictive biomarkers and clinical outcomes. Neuro-oncology 23:112-121, 2021
- 54. Shah NN, Johnson BD, Fenske TS, et al: Intrathecal chemotherapy for management of steroid-refractory CAR T-cell-associated neurotoxicity syndrome. Blood Adv 4:2119-2122, 2020
- McClain KL, Eckstein O: Clinical Features and Diagnosis of Hhemophagocytic Lymphohistiocytosis. Section Editor: Newburger P, Deputy Editor: Rosmarin AG. Waltham, MA, UpToDate, 2021. http://www.uptodate.com
- 56. La Rosée P, Horne A, Hines M, et al: Recommendations for the management of hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis in adults. Blood 133:2465-2477, 2019
- 57. Ceppi F, Summers C, Gardner RA: Chapter 8—Hematologic and non-CRS toxicities, in Lee DW, Shah NN (eds): Chimeric Antigen Receptor T-Cell Therapies for Cancer. Cambridge, MA, Elsevier, 2020, pp 107-112
- Shah NN, Highfill SL, Shalabi H, et al: CD4/CD8 T-Cell selection affects chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T-cell potency and toxicity: Updated results from a phase I anti-CD22 CAR T-cell trial. J Clin Oncol 38:1938-1950, 2020
- Major A, Collins J, Craney C, et al: Management of hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis (HLH) associated with chimeric antigen receptor T-cell (CAR-T) therapy using anti-cytokine therapy: An illustrative case and review of the literature. Leuk Lymphoma 62:1765-1769, 2021
- Johnson TS, Terrell CE, Millen SH, et al: Etoposide selectively ablates activated T cells to control the immunoregulatory disorder hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis. J Immunol 192:84-91, 2014
- 61. Mahmoudjafari Z, Hawks KG, Hsieh AA, et al: American Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation Pharmacy Special Interest Group Survey on chimeric antigen receptor T cell therapy administrative, logistic, and toxicity management practices in the United States. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant 25:26-33, 2019
- 62. Nikitorowicz-Buniak J: CAR-T 2020 I Cytopenia in CART—The Prolonged Threat of Infection, 2020. https://lymphomahub.com/medical-information/car-t-2020-or-cytopenia-in-cart-the-prolonged-threat-of-infection
- Taylor FB Jr, Toh CH, Hoots WK, et al: Towards definition, clinical and laboratory criteria, and a scoring system for disseminated intravascular coagulation. Thromb Haemost 86:1327-1330, 2001

....

# **ASCO**<sup>°</sup> Journals

## Gain Recognition as an ASCO Journal Reviewer

Participate as a journal reviewer and play an integral role in maintaining the quality and integrity of ASCO journals. Benefits and recognition for reviewing ASCO journals content include:

- Staying up to date on the latest oncology research
- Increased exposure to key leaders in oncology
- Career advancement opportunities
- ASCO members who become reviewers can earn FASCO points

Get started today at ascopubs.org/reviewers



#### **AUTHORS' DISCLOSURES OF POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

#### Management of Immune-Related Adverse Events in Patients Treated With Chimeric Antigen Receptor T-Cell Therapy: ASCO Guideline

The following represents disclosure information provided by authors of this manuscript. All relationships are considered compensated unless otherwise noted. Relationships are self-held unless noted. I = Immediate Family Member, Inst = My Institution. Relationships may not relate to the subject matter of this manuscript. For more information about ASCO's conflict of interest policy, please refer to www.asco.org/rwc or ascopubs.org/jco/authors/author-center.

Open Payments is a public database containing information reported by companies about payments made to US-licensed physicians (Open Payments).

#### Bianca D. Santomasso

Consulting or Advisory Role: Celgene, Janssen, Legend Biotech, Incyte, In8Bio Research Funding: ADC Therapeutics (Inst)

#### Loretta J. Nastoupil

Honoraria: Celgene, Gilead Sciences, Novartis, Bayer, Janssen Oncology, Pfizer, Gamida Cell, TG Therapeutics, Bristol Myers Squibb, ADC Therapeutics, Morphosys, Epizyme, Genmab

Research Funding: TG Therapeutics, Janssen Biotech, Celgene, Genentech/ Roche, LAM Therapeutics, Epizyme, Novartis, IgM Biosciences, Caribou Biosciences, Gilead Sciences, Allogene Therapeutics, Takeda

#### Sherry Adkins

Consulting or Advisory Role: Celgene Travel, Accommodations, Expenses: Celgene

#### Bryan J. Schneider

Research Funding: Merck

#### Milan Anadkat

Stock and Other Ownership Interests: Anthem, Humana, Perrigo, Walgreens Boots Alliance, Abbott Laboratories, Merck, AbbVie, Amgen, Bristol Myers Squibb, Celgene, CVS Health, Gilead Sciences, Incyte, Johnson & Johnson, Lilly, Medtronic, Mylan, Pfizer, Procter & Gamble, United Health Group, Regeneron, Roche, Moderna Therapeutics, Dexcom, Quest Diagnostics

Honoraria: Adgero Biopharmaceuticals, Boehringer Ingelheim, Novocure, AbbVie, UCB, Innovaderm

**Consulting or Advisory Role:** Adgero Biopharmaceuticals, Boehringer Ingelheim, Novocure, Kintara Therapeutics

Research Funding: AnaptysBio, Boehringer Ingelheim, Biogen, InflaRx, Lutris, Novartis, OnQuality Pharmaceuticals, Veloce Pharmaceuticals, XBiotech, UCB, AbbVie, Lilly

#### Michael B. Atkins

#### Stock and Other Ownership Interests: Werewolf Pharma, Pyxis

**Consulting or Advisory Role:** Genentech, Novartis, Bristol Myers Squibb, Merck, Exelixis, Eisai, Agenus, Arrowhead Pharmaceuticals, Werewolf Pharma, Surface Oncology, Iovance Biotherapeutics, Pyxis, Pneuma Respiratory, Leads Biolabs, Fathom Biotechnology, Aveo, Cota Healthcare, Neoleukin Therapeutics, Adagene, Idera, Ellipses Pharma, AstraZeneca, PACT Pharma, Seattle Genetics, Pfizer, Scholar Rock, Asher Bio, Calithera Biosciences, Takeda, Sanofi

Research Funding: Bristol Myers Squibb

#### Kelly J. Brassil

Employment: Pack Health

Honoraria: Oncology Nursing Society, WebMD, M Consulting, i3 Health Research Funding: AbbVie, Daiichi Sankyo, Astellas Pharma, Genentech, Sanofi, GlaxoSmithKline

Travel, Accommodations, Expenses: Pack Health

#### Jeffrey M. Caterino

Stock and Other Ownership Interests: Motive Medical Intelligence Consulting or Advisory Role: Wellstat Therapeutics

Research Funding: Stago, Entegrion, JDP Therapeutics, AstraZeneca Ian Chau

#### Honoraria: Lilly, Eisai, Servier

Consulting or Advisory Role: Lilly, Bristol Myers Squibb, MSD Oncology, Merck Serono, Roche/Genentech, AstraZeneca, Pierre Fabre, Boehringer Ingelheim, Incyte, OncXerna Therapeutics, Astellas Pharma, GlaxoSmithKline, Eisai, Sotio Research Funding: Janssen-Cilag, Lilly

Travel, Accommodations, Expenses: MSD, Merck Serono, Lilly, Bristol Myers Squibb, Eisai

#### Marianne J. Davies

Speakers' Bureau: AstraZeneca, Genentech/Roche, Merck, Bristol Myers Squibb

#### Marc S. Ernstoff

Stock and Other Ownership Interests: GE Healthcare, Bristol Myers Squibb Research Funding: Alkermes, EMD Serono

Travel, Accommodations, Expenses: ImmuNext, Alkermes Other Relationship: Bristol Myers Squibb

#### Leslie Fecher

Consulting or Advisory Role: Via Oncology, Hoosier Cancer Research Network, Elsevier

Research Funding: Merck, Incyte, Bristol Myers Squibb, Pfizer/EMD Serono, Array BioPharma, Kartos Therapeutics

Other Relationship: Array BioPharma

Uncompensated Relationships: NCCN, American Association of Clinical Endocrinology, ASCO

#### Pauline Funchain

Consulting or Advisory Role: Eisai Research Funding: Pfizer, Bristol Myers Squibb, Taiho Oncology

Jennifer S. Mammen

Stock and Other Ownership Interests: Johnson & Johnson, Bristol Myers Squibb Consulting or Advisory Role: Five Prime Therapeutics

#### Jarushka Naidoo

Honoraria: Bristol Myers Squibb, AstraZeneca/MedImmune, Merck, Daiichi Sankyo/Lilly, Takeda

Consulting or Advisory Role: Bristol Myers Squibb, AstraZeneca/MedImmune, Roche/Genentech, Daiichi Sankyo/Lilly, Takeda, Pfizer, Kaleido Biosciences Research Funding: Merck, AstraZeneca, Roche/Genentech

Travel, Accommodations, Expenses: Bristol Myers Squibb, AstraZeneca/ MedImmune

#### Aung Naing

Consulting or Advisory Role: Novartis, CytomX Therapeutics, OncoSec, STCube Pharmaceuticals Inc, Kymab, Takeda (I), CSL Behring (I), Horizon Pharma (I), Genome & Company

Research Funding: NCI, EMD Serono, MedImmune, Atterocor, Amplimmune, ARMO BioSciences, Karyopharm Therapeutics, Incyte, Novartis, Regeneron, Merck, Bristol Myers Squibb, Pfizer, CytomX Therapeutics, Neon Therapeutics, Calithera Biosciences, TopAlliance BioSciences Inc, Healios, Lilly, Kymab, PsiOxus Therapeutics, Immune Deficiency Foundation (I), Arcus Biosciences, NeoImmuneTech, ImmuneOncia, Surface Oncology, Baxalta (I), Jeffrey Modell Foundation (I), Chao Physician-Scientist Awards

Travel, Accommodations, Expenses: ARMO BioSciences

#### Tanyanika Phillips

Travel, Accommodations, Expenses: City of Hope

#### Alexander Spira

Leadership: NEXT Oncology Virginia

Stock and Other Ownership Interests: Eli Lilly

Honoraria: CytomX Therapeutics, AstraZeneca/MedImmune, Merck, Takeda, Amgen, Janssen Oncology, Novartis, Bristol Myers Squibb, Bayer

Consulting or Advisory Role: Array BioPharma (Inst), Incyte, Amgen, Novartis, AstraZeneca/MedImmune (Inst), Mirati Therapeutics, Gritstone Oncology, Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Merck (Inst), Bristol Myers Squibb (Inst), Takeda, Janssen Research & Development

Research Funding: Roche (Inst), AstraZeneca (Inst), Boehringer Ingelheim (Inst), Astellas Pharma (Inst), MedImmune (Inst), Novartis (Inst), Newlink Genetics (Inst), Incyte (Inst), AbbVie (Inst), Ignyta (Inst), LAM Therapeutics (Inst), Trovagene (Inst), Takeda (Inst), Macrogenics (Inst), CytomX Therapeutics (Inst), LAM Therapeutics (Inst), LAM Therapeutics (Inst), LAM Therapeutics (Inst), Astex Pharmaceuticals (Inst), Bristol Myers Squibb (Inst), Loxo (Inst), Arch Therapeutics (Inst), Gritstone (Inst), Plexxikon (Inst), Amgen (Inst), Loxo (Inst), Daiicchi Sankyo (Inst), ADCT (Inst), Janssen Oncology (Inst), Mirati Therapeutics (Inst), Rubius (Inst)

#### Maria Suarez-Almazor

Consulting or Advisory Role: Agile Therapeutics, AMAG Pharmaceuticals, Abbvie/Genentech, Avenue Therapeutics, Gilead Sciences, ChemoCentryx, Celgene/Bristol Myers Squibb

#### Umang Swami

Consulting or Advisory Role: Seattle Genetics

#### John A. Thompson

Consulting or Advisory Role: Calithera Biosciences, Clinical Care Options/ NCCN, BJ Bioscience, Alpine Immune Sciences, Neoleukin Therapeutics, Academy for Continued Healthcare Learning, Meeting Sites Pro, Regeneron, AVEO, Bristol Myers Squibb

**Research Funding:** Roche, Pfizer, Agensys, Five Prime Therapeutics, Trillium Therapeutics, Merck, Novartis, Xencor, Five Prime Therapeutics, Incyte

## Praveen Vikas

Stock and Other Ownership Interests: Novavax Research Funding: Sanofi

#### Yinghong Wang

Consulting or Advisory Role: Tillotts Pharma, Azurrx Pharma

#### Jeffrey S. Weber

Stock and Other Ownership Interests: CytomX Therapeutics, Biond, Protean Biodiagnostics, Neximmune

Honoraria: Bristol Myers Squibb, Merck, Genentech, AstraZeneca, Daiichi Sankyo, GlaxoSmithKline, Amgen, Roche, Celldex, CytomX Therapeutics, Novartis, Sellas Life Sciences, WindMIL, Takeda, Moderna Therapeutics, Jounce Therapeutics, Kirin Pharmaceuticals, Regeneron, Idera, Oncosec Consulting or Advisory Role: Celldex, Bristol Myers Squibb, Merck, Genentech, Roche, Amgen, AstraZeneca, GlaxoSmithKline, Daiichi Sankyo, CytomX Therapeutics, Novartis, Sellas Life Sciences, WindMIL, Jounce Therapeutics, Moderna Therapeutics, Kirin Pharmaceuticals, Protean Biodiagnostics, Idera, Oncosec

**Research Funding:** Bristol Myers Squibb, Merck, GlaxoSmithKline, Genentech, Astellas Pharma, Incyte, Roche, Novartis, NextCure (Inst), Moderna Therapeutics (Inst)

Patents, Royalties, Other Intellectual Property: Named on a patent submitted by Moffitt Cancer Center for an IPILIMUMAB biomarker, named on a patent from Biodesix for a PD-1 antibody biomarker, and named on a patent for 41BBinduced TIL by Moffitt Cancer Center

Travel, Accommodations, Expenses: Bristol Myers Squibb, GlaxoSmithKline, Roche, Celldex, Amgen, Merck, AstraZeneca, Genentech, Novartis

#### Monalisa Ghosh

Research Funding: Celgene (Inst)

No other potential conflicts of interest were reported.

## **APPENDIX**

TABLE A1. Management of Immune-Related Adverse Events Guideline Expert Panel Membership

Name	Affiliation or Institution	Role or Area of Expertise
Bryan J. Schneider, MD Cochair	University of Michigan Health System, Ann Arbor, MI	Thoracic oncology
Kathryn Bollin, MD Cochair	Scripps MD Anderson Cancer Center, San Diego, CA	Melanoma
Sherry Adkins, RN, MSN, ANP-C	MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX	Oncology nursing
/ilan Anadkat, MD	Washington University, St Louis, MO	Dermatology
Aichael B. Atkins, MD	Georgetown Lombardi Comprehensive Cancer Institute, Washington, DC	GU oncology
Kelly Brassil, PhD, RN	MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX	Oncology nursing
effrey M. Caterino, MD, MPH	The Ohio State University Wexner Medical Center, Columbus, OH	Emergency medicine
an Chau, MD	Royal Marsden Hospital and Institute of Cancer Research, Sutton, UK	GI oncology
Narianne J. Davies, DNP	Smilow Cancer Hospital and Yale School of Nursing, New Haven, CT	Oncology nursing
Narc S. Ernstoff, MD	National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, MD	Melanoma
eslie Fecher, MD	University of Michigan Health System, Ann Arbor, MI	Melanoma
Pauline Funchain, MD	Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH	Melanoma
Ionalisa Ghosh, MD	University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI	Hematology/oncology/bone marrow transplant and cellular therapy
shmael Jaiyesimi, DO, MS	Cancer Care Associates PC, Royal Oak, MI	Medical oncology and PGIN rep
ennifer S. Mammen, MD, PhD	Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD	Endocrinology
arushka Naidoo, MD	Beaumont Hospital, Dublin, Ireland and Sidney Kimmel Comprehensive Cancer Center at Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD	Thoracic oncology
Aung Naing, MD	MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX	Medical oncology and trialist
oretta Nastoupil, MD	MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX	Hematology and oncology
anyanika Phillips, MD	City of Hope, Antelope Valley and Duarte, CA	Medical oncology and PGIN rep
aura D. Porter, MD	Colon Cancer Alliance, Washington, DC	Patient advocate
ristina A. Reichner, MD	Georgetown University, Washington, DC	Pulmonology
ianca Santomasso, MD, PhD	Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY	Neuro-oncology
arole Seigel	MGH Cancer Center, Boston, MA	Patient advocate
ung Min Song, RN	Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH	Oncology nursing
lexander Spira, MD, PhD	Virginia Cancer Specialists and US Oncology, Fairfax, VA	Medical oncology
laria Suarez-Almazor, MD	MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX	Rheumatology
Jmang Swami, MD	Huntsman Cancer Institute, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT	GU oncology
ohn A. Thompson, MD	Seattle Cancer Care Alliance, University of Washington/Fred Hutchinson, Seattle, WA	Melanoma
Praveen Vikas, MD	University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA	Breast medical oncology and PGIN rep
ïnghong Wang, MD	MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX	Gastroenterology
effrey S. Weber, MD, PhD	NYU Langone Medical Center, New York, NY	Melanoma
Christina Lacchetti, MHSc	American Society of Clinical Oncology, Alexandria, VA	ASCO practice guideline staff and healt research methodologist

research methodologist